## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, : No. 210 MAL 2014

NEIL ANDREW NEIDIG,

Respondent : Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the

: **Unpublished Memorandum and Order** : of the Superior Court at No. 2135 MDA

v. : 2012 filed January 3, 2014, **affirming** the

: Judgment of Sentence of the

: Northumberland County Court of Common : Pleas at Nos. CP-49-CR-0000295-2012

: and CP-49-CR-0000756-2011 filed

Petitioner : October 18, 2012

:

## <u>ORDER</u>

PER CURIAM DECIDED: August 19, 2014

**AND NOW**, this 19th day of August, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is **GRANTED**. The judgment of the Superior Court is **VACATED**, and the matter is **REMANDED** to the Superior Court to consider the implications of Pa.R.A.P. 1925(c), as outlined in the dissenting statement by Judge Fitzgerald. See Pa.R.A.P. 1925(c)(3) (where an "appellate court is convinced that counsel has been *per se* ineffective, the appellate court shall remand for the filing of a Statement *nunc pro tunc* and for the preparation and filing of an opinion by the judge.").